

STEIN'S METHOD FOR THE HALF-NORMAL DISTRIBUTION WITH APPLICATIONS TO LIMIT THEOREMS RELATED TO SIMPLE RANDOM WALK

CHRISTIAN DÖBLER

ABSTRACT. We develop Stein's method for the half-normal distribution and apply it to derive rates of convergence in distributional limit theorems for three statistics of symmetric, simple random walk: the maximum value, the number of returns to the origin and the number of sign changes up to a given time n . We obtain explicit error bounds with the optimal rate $n^{-1/2}$ for both, the Kolmogorov and the Wasserstein metric. In order to apply Stein's method, we compare the characterizing operator of the limiting half-normal distribution with suitable characterizations of the discrete approximating distributions, exploiting a recent technique by Goldstein and Reinert [GR12].

1. INTRODUCTION

This note concerns the rate of convergence issue for three limit theorems in the surroundings of one-dimensional symmetric, simple random walk (SRW). By this we mean the discrete time stochastic process $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$ defined by $S_0 := 0$ and $S_n := \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$, $n \geq 1$, where $X_1, X_2, \dots$, are iid random variables with $P(X_1 = 1) = P(X_1 = -1) = 1/2$. It has been known for a long time that various statistics of the process $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$ exhibit a quite counter intuitive distributional behaviour, see e.g. Chapter 3 of [Fel68]. In [Döb12a] a rate of convergence for the arcsine law was proved using Stein's method for beta distributions (see also [GR12] for an improvement of this result with respect to the constant of convergence). Here, we will focus on limit theorems which state convergence towards the distribution of $Y := |Z|$, where $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ is standard normally distributed. This distribution is commonly known as the half-normal distribution. The technique of proof will be to compare the Stein characterization of Y with a suitable characterization for the approximating discrete distribution, as was proposed by Goldstein and Reinert [GR12] and also used

Technische Universität München, Fakultät für Mathematik, Lehrstuhl für Mathematische Physik,
D-85740 München, Germany.
christian.doebler@tum.de

Keywords: Stein's method, half-normal distribution, simple random walk, density approach, Kolmogorov distance, Wasserstein distance .

in [Döb12a]. Generally, this technique is promising, whenever a concrete formula for the probability mass function of the discrete distribution is at hand, which yields a Stein characterization similar to the one for the limiting distribution. In the case of $Y = |Z|$ the latter is easily found, using the *density approach* of Stein's method (see [CS11], [EL10] or [CGS11] for instance). Although we could simply quote the theory and bounds on the solution to Stein's equation from [CGS11] or [CS11], for instance, we prefer deriving our own bounds, which usually yield better (and mostly, in fact, optimal) constants. The rate of convergence results in this paper are always with respect to a certain probability metric, which is defined via test functions. Thus, if μ and ν are two probability measures on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is some class of measurable test functions that are integrable with respect to μ and ν , then we define the distance

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mu, \nu) := \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} h d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}} h d\nu \right|.$$

For example, if $\mathcal{W}$ is the class of Lipschitz continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with Lipschitz constant not greater than 1 and if μ and ν have first moments, then $d_{\mathcal{W}}(\mu, \nu)$ is the *Wasserstein distance* of μ and ν . On the other hand, if $\mathcal{K}$ is the class of functions $h_z := 1_{(-\infty, z]}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$, then we obtain the *Kolmogorov distance*

$$d_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mu((-\infty, z]) - \nu((-\infty, z]) \right|.$$

For real-valued random variables X and Y we write $d_{\mathcal{H}}(X, Y)$ for $d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{L}(X), \mathcal{L}(Y))$.

We begin by introducing the statistics of $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$, which convergence in distribution to Y . First, consider

$$(1) \quad M_n := \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} S_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then, $M_n/\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We will prove the following quantitative version of this result.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $n = 2m$ be an even positive integer. Then, with $W := W_n := M_n/\sqrt{n}$*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{W}}(W, Y) &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(3 + \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \\ d_{\mathcal{K}}(W, Y) &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(4\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{2}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let K_n denote the number of times between 1 and n that the random walk returns to the origin, i.e.

$$(2) \quad K_n := |\{1 \leq k \leq n = 2m : S_k = 0\}|.$$

Then, $K_n/\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that intuition might lead us to the (false) conclusion that the number of returns to the origin should roughly grow linearly with the time n . Here is a theorem which gives error bounds for this distributional convergence.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $n = 2m$ be an even positive integer. Then, with $W := W_n := K_n/\sqrt{n}$*

$$\begin{aligned} d_W(W, Y) &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} + 2 \right) + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \\ d_K(W, Y) &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{3}{4} \right) + \frac{3}{2n} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, consider the number C_n of sign changes by the random walk up to time $n := 2m + 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e.

$$(3) \quad C_n := C_{2m+1} := |\{1 \leq k \leq 2m : S_{k-1} \cdot S_{k+1} < 0\}|.$$

Then, $2C_{2m+1}/\sqrt{2m+1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Again, we obtain a quantitative version of this result.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let m be a positive integer and $n := 2m + 1$. Then, with $W := W_m := 2C_{2m+1}/\sqrt{2m+1}$*

$$\begin{aligned} d_W(W, Y) &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(4 + \frac{2}{\pi} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \\ d_K(W, Y) &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2} + 4}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{3}{2} \right) + \frac{3}{n} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we develop Stein's method for the half-normal distribution of Y and review the technique by Goldstein and Reinert of comparison with a discrete distribution. In Sections 3, 4 and 5 we present the proofs of Theorems 1.2, 1.1 and 1.3, respectively and in Section 6 we show the optimality of the obtained convergence rates. Finally, in Section 7 we give proofs of some of the results from Section 2.

2. STEIN'S METHOD FOR $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ AND FOR DISCRETE DISTRIBUTIONS

Stein's method is by now a well-established device for proving concrete error bounds in distributional convergence problems. Since its introduction by Stein in the seminal paper [Ste72] on univariate normal approximation for sums of random variables, satisfying a certain mixing condition, it has undergone a remarkable progress. On the one hand, the range of normal approximation problems that can be tackled by means of the method has been largely extended, particularly due to the development of certain coupling constructions (see [CGS11] for an introduction and overview). On the other hand, the essential idea of characterizing a given distribution by a certain differential or difference equation, was successfully carried over to other prominent distributions, like, for instance, the Poisson distribution (see e.g. [Che75] and [BHJ92]), the Gamma distribution (see [Luk94]), the exponential distribution (see e.g. [CFR11], [PR11] or [FR12]) or the Beta distribution (see [GR12] and [Döb12b]). Furthermore, general techniques have been proposed to develop Stein's method for a distribution with a given density, like for example the density approach (see [SDHR04], [CS11], [EL10] or [CGS11]) or the general approach in [Döb12b], which is adapted to a given exchangeable pair.

We begin by developing Stein's method for the distribution $\mu := \mathcal{L}(Y)$. Note that μ is supported on $[0, \infty)$, since $Y = |Z|$, where $Z \sim N(0, 1)$. We denote by p, F the density function and distribution function of Y , respectively. Thus, as a trivial computation shows, we have

$$(4) \quad p(x) = 2\varphi(x)1_{(0,\infty)}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}e^{-x^2/2}1_{(0,\infty)}(x) \text{ and}$$

$$(5) \quad F(x) = (2\Phi(x) - 1)1_{(0,\infty)}(x),$$

where φ, Φ denote the density function and distribution function of Z , respectively. Further, we denote by ψ the logarithmic derivative of p on $[0, \infty)$, thus $\psi(x) := \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} = -x$ for all $x \geq 0$. According to the density approach in Stein's method, we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.1 (Stein characterization for μ). *A random variable X with values in $[0, \infty)$ has the half-normal distribution μ if and only if*

$$E[f'(X)] = E[Xf(X)] - f(0)\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

for all functions $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which are absolutely continuous on every compact sub-interval of $[0, \infty)$ such that $E|f'(Y)| < \infty$.

For a given measurable function h on $[0, \infty)$ with $E|h(Y)| < \infty$ Proposition 2.1 now motivates the following *Stein equation*

$$(6) \quad f'(x) - xf(x) = h(x) - \mu(h),$$

where we abbreviate $\mu(h) := E[h(Y)]$. This equation is to be solved for the function f on $[0, \infty)$. If f is a solution to (6) and W is a given nonnegative random variable, then taking expectations, we have the following identity:

$$(7) \quad E[h(W)] - E[h(Y)] = E[f'(W) - Wf(W)]$$

As a matter of fact, the right hand side may often be easier bounded (even uniformly in the test functions h coming from some class $\mathcal{H}$ of functions) than the left hand side, if one further tool is available. This additional tool may be a coupling, like for example the exchangeable pairs coupling (see [CGS11]), or a characterization for the distribution $\mathcal{L}(W)$, as will be exploited in this paper.

We now introduce the standard solution f_h to (6). Let $f_h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} f_h(x) &:= \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_0^x (h(t) - \mu(h))p(t)dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_0^x (h(t) - \mu(h))\varphi(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

$$(9) \quad = -\frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_x^\infty (h(t) - \mu(h))\varphi(t)dt.$$

It is easily checked that f_h indeed solves Equation (6) and that the solutions of the homogeneous equation corresponding to (6) have the form $ce^{x^2/2}$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. This particularly shows that if f_h is bounded, then it is the only bounded solution to (6) and even the only solution f with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x^2/2}f(x) = 0$.

Note that (6) is the same Stein equation as for the standard normal distribution (see e.g. [CGS11]) except that we only consider functions on $[0, \infty)$, here. Thus, there should be some correspondence between the solutions. For given h on $[0, \infty)$ consider the function g on $\mathbb{R}$ given by $g(x) := h(|x|)$ and denote by $\tilde{f}_g$ the standard solution to the Stein equation for the standard normal distribution $\mathcal{L}(Z)$ and the test function g . For $x \geq 0$ we obtain from Stein's method for normal approximation (see [CGS11]) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}_g(x) &= \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_{-\infty}^x (g(t) - E[g(Z)]) \varphi(t) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_{-\infty}^0 (h(-t) - E[h(Y)]) \varphi(t) dt + \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_0^x (h(t) - E[h(Y)]) \varphi(t) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_0^\infty h(u) \varphi(u) du - \frac{E[h(Y)]}{2\varphi(x)} + f_h(x) \\
(10) \quad &= f_h(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\tilde{f}_g$ coincides with f_h on $[0, \infty)$. This allows us to derive properties of the solutions f_h to (6) from those of the functions $\tilde{f}_g$, which are well-studied. For example, if h is Lipschitz on $[0, \infty)$ with Lipschitz constant $L > 0$, then for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(11) \quad |g(x) - g(y)| = |h(|x|) - h(|y|)| \leq L||x| - |y|| \leq L|x - y|.$$

So, g is also Lipschitz with the same constant L .

In order to make good use of identity (7) one needs bounds on the solutions f_h and their (higher) derivatives. Here is what we can achieve in case of the distribution μ .

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Borel-measurable.*

(i) *If h is bounded, then f_h is Lipschitz and we have with $z_{0.75} := \Phi^{-1}(3/4)$:*

- (a) $\|f_h\|_\infty \leq \frac{\|h - \mu(h)\|_\infty}{4\varphi(z_{0.75})}$
- (b) $\|f'_h\|_\infty \leq 2\|h - \mu(h)\|_\infty$

(ii) *If h is Lipschitz, then f_h is continuously differentiable with a Lipschitz continuous derivative and we have the bounds:*

- (a) $\|f_h\|_\infty \leq \|h'\|_\infty$
- (b) $\|f'_h\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|h'\|_\infty$
- (c) $\|f''_h\|_\infty \leq 2\|h'\|_\infty$

Proof. Assertion (a) of (i) is proved in Section 7. By (10) $f_h(x)$ coincides with the solution $\tilde{f}_g(x)$ to Stein's equation for the standard normal distribution corresponding to the test function $g(x) = h(|x|)$. Since $\|g\|_\infty = \|h\|_\infty$, $E[g(Z)] = E[h(Y)]$ and, by (11), $\|g'\|_\infty = \|h'\|_\infty$ bound (b) of (i) and the bounds in (ii) follow from well-known bounds in the standard normal case (see [CGS11] but note the factor 1 in bound (a) of (ii)). However, in this preprint version a complete proof of Lemma 2.2 is given in Section 7.

□

Lipschitz test functions h with Lipschitz constant $\|h'\|_\infty \leq 1$ yield the Wasserstein distance. For the Kolmogorov distance the class of functions $h_z = 1_{(-\infty, z]}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$, plays this role. Since we only compare distributions with support on $[0, \infty)$ we may restrict ourselves to the case $z \geq 0$. We also denote $f_z := f_{h_z}$. Since the functions h_z are uniformly bounded by 1, we immediately get bounds on $\|f_z\|_\infty$ and $\|f'_z\|_\infty$ from Lemma 2.2 (i). But for this special class of functions, a special analysis yields smaller constants. If we denote by $\tilde{f}_z$ the solution to Stein's equation for the standard normal distribution with respect to the test function h_z , where $z \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain from (10) for $z \geq 0$ that $f_z(x) = \tilde{f}_z(x) - \tilde{f}_{-z}(x)$ for each $x \geq 0$. Indeed, letting $g_z(x) := h_z(|x|)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $g_z(x) = h_z(x) - 1_{(-\infty, -z)}(x) = h_z(x) - h_{-z}(x)$ for every $x \neq -z$, leading to this representation of f_z . However, we were only able to use this representation and known bounds on the functions $\tilde{f}'_z$ to derive part (b) of the following lemma. This is why a complete proof is given in Section 7.

LEMMA 2.3. *For each $z \geq 0$ we have:*

- (a) $\|f_z\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}$
- (b) $\|f'_z\|_\infty \leq 1$
- (c) *The function $[0, \infty) \ni x \mapsto xf_z(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ is increasing.*

REMARK 2.4. The bound in Lemma 2.3 (a) is not optimal. More precisely, computer algebra systems suggest that

$$\sup_{z \geq 0} \|f_z\|_\infty = 0.456296\dots$$

However, the bound in Lemma 2.3 (b) is optimal.

In the following, we review the technique of finding a suitable Stein type characterization for a discrete distribution on the integers by Goldstein and Reinert [GR12].

A finite integer interval is a set I of the form $I = [a, b] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ for some integers $a \leq b$. Given a probability mass function $p : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $p(k) > 0$ for $k \in I$ and $p(k) = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus I$, we consider the function $\psi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$(12) \quad \psi(k) := \frac{\Delta p(k)}{p(k)} = \frac{p(k+1) - p(k)}{p(k)},$$

where for a function f on the integers $\Delta f(k) := f(k+1) - f(k)$ denotes the *forward difference operator*. The next result, a version of Corollary 2.1 from [GR12], yields various Stein characterizations for the distribution corresponding to p . For such a probability mass function p with support a finite integer interval $I = [a, b] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{F}(p)$ denote the class of all real-valued functions f on $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(a-1) = 0$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let Z be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued random variable with probability mass function p which is supported on the finite integer interval $I = [a, b] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and is positive*

there. Let $c : [a - 1, b] \cap \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function with $c(k) \neq 0$ for all $k \in I$. Then, in order that a given random variable X with support I has the same distribution as Z it is necessary and sufficient that for all functions $g \in \mathcal{F}(p)$ we have

$$(13) \quad E \left[c(X - 1) \Delta g(X - 1) + [c(X) \psi(X) + \Delta c(X - 1)] g(X) \right] = 0.$$

3. THE NUMBER OF RETURNS TO THE ORIGIN

Recall the definition of K_n from (2). In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. It is known (see e.g. [Fel68], Problem 9 in Chapter 3) that for each $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$

$$(14) \quad p(r) := P(K_n = r) = \frac{1}{2^{n-r}} \binom{n-r}{n/2} = \frac{1}{2^{2m-r}} \binom{2m-r}{m}.$$

Using (14) as well as the relation

$$\binom{n+1}{k} = \frac{n+1}{n-k+1} \binom{n}{k}$$

we obtain that

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(r) &:= \frac{p(r+1) - p(r)}{p(r)} = \frac{2^{-(2m-r-1)} \binom{2m-r-1}{m} - 2^{-(2m-r)} \binom{2m-r}{m}}{2^{-(2m-r)} \binom{2m-r}{m}} \\ &= 2 \frac{m-r}{2m-r} - 1 = \frac{-r}{2m-r} \end{aligned}$$

for all $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. We thus define $c(r) := 2m - r$ for $r = -1, 0, \dots, m$ and obtain

- $\Delta c(r - 1) = c(r) - c(r - 1) = 2m - r - (2m - r + 1) = -1$
- $c(r) \psi(r) = -r$ and
- $\gamma(r) := c(r) \psi(r) + \Delta c(r - 1) = -r - 1 = -(r + 1).$

Proposition 2.5 thus yields the following characterization of the distribution of K_n .

LEMMA 3.1. *A random variable X with support $[0, m] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ has probability mass function p if and only if for every function $g \in \mathcal{F}(p)$*

$$E[(2m - X + 1) \Delta g(X - 1) - (X + 1) g(X)] = 0.$$

Letting $g(k) := 1$ for $k \geq 0$ and $g(k) := 0$ for $k < 0$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[K_n] &= (2m+1)P(K_n = 0) - 1 \\
 &= (2m+1)2^{-2m} \binom{2m}{m} - 1 \leq 2m2^{-2m} \binom{2m}{m} \\
 (16) \quad &\leq \frac{2m}{\sqrt{\pi m}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sqrt{n},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Stirling's formula.

REMARK 3.2. The bound on $E[K_n]$ in (16) is quite accurate and it is not easy to get the precise formula for $E[K_n]$ directly, but one needs some combinatorial tricks. Also, since $K_n = \sum_{j=1}^m 1_{A_j}$, where $A_j := \{S_{2j} = 0\}$, we have $E[K_n] = \sum_{j=1}^m 2^{-2j} \binom{2j}{j}$ and this is the partial sum of the diverging series which is used to prove recurrence of symmetric, simple random walk. Thus, we see how the Stein characterization in Lemma 3.1 gives us information about how fast this series diverges. This indicates, that a lot of information might be encoded in such a Stein identity.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let h be a Borel-measurable test function and consider the corresponding standard solution $f := f_h$ to Stein's equation (6) given by (8) and (9). We also let $f_h(x) := 0$ for each $x < 0$ and define $g(k) := f_h(k/\sqrt{n})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Writing $\Delta_y f(x) := f(x+y) - f(x)$ we obtain from Lemma 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[Wf(W)] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} E[K_n g(K_n)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} E[(n - K_n + 1) \Delta g(K_n - 1) - g(K_n)] \\
 &= E\left[(\sqrt{n} - W + n^{-1/2}) \Delta_{n^{-1/2}} f(W - n^{-1/2})\right] - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} E[f(W)] \\
 (17) \quad &= \sqrt{n} E[\Delta_{n^{-1/2}} f(W - n^{-1/2})] + E_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_1| &= \left| E \left[(n^{-1/2} - W) \Delta_{n^{-1/2}} f(W - n^{-1/2}) \right] - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} E[f(W)] \right| \\
&\leq \|f'\|_\infty \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} E[W] \right) + \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}} \\
(18) \quad &\leq \frac{\|f'\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \right) + \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}}
\end{aligned}$$

by inequality (16) and because $W = n^{-1/2} K_n$.

Now, using (17) and the fact that $f = f_h$ is a solution to Stein's equation (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|E[h(W)] - E[h(Y)]| &= |E[f'(W) - W f(W)]| \\
&\leq |E[f'(W) - \sqrt{n} \Delta_{n^{-1/2}} f(W - n^{-1/2})]| + |E_1| \\
&= \sqrt{n} \left| E \left[\int_{W - n^{-1/2}}^W (f'(W) - f'(t)) dt \right] \right| + |E_1| \\
(19) \quad &= |E_2| + |E_1|,
\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$(20) \quad E_2 := \sqrt{n} E \left[\int_{W - n^{-1/2}}^W (f'(W) - f'(t)) dt \right].$$

If h is Lipschitz, then we know from Lemma 2.2 (ii) that f' is also Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant $2\|h'\|_\infty$ and we can bound

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_2| &\leq \sqrt{n} \|f''\|_\infty E \left[\int_{W - n^{-1/2}}^W (W - t) dt \right] = \sqrt{n} \|f''\|_\infty \int_0^{n^{-1/2}} u du \\
&= \sqrt{n} \|f''\|_\infty \frac{1}{2n} = \frac{\|f''\|_\infty}{2\sqrt{n}} \\
(21) \quad &\leq \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

More generally, if h is measurable and $E|h(Y)| < \infty$, then, again using that $f = f_h$ is a solution to Stein's equation (6) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2 &= \sqrt{n}E \left[\int_{W-n^{-1/2}}^W (Wf(W) - tf(t) + h(W) - h(t)) dt \right] \\
&= \sqrt{n}E \left[\int_{W-n^{-1/2}}^W (Wf(W) - tf(t)) dt \right] + \sqrt{n}E \left[\int_{W-n^{-1/2}}^W (h(W) - h(t)) dt \right] \\
(22) \quad &= E_3 + E_4,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
E_3 &:= \sqrt{n}E \left[\int_{W-n^{-1/2}}^W (Wf(W) - tf(t)) dt \right] \text{ and} \\
(23) \quad E_4 &:= \sqrt{n}E \left[\int_{W-n^{-1/2}}^W (h(W) - h(t)) dt \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_3| &= \sqrt{n} \left| E \left[\int_{W-n^{-1/2}}^W \int_t^W (f(s) + sf'(s)) ds dt \right] \right| \\
&\leq \sqrt{n} \|f\|_\infty E \left[\int_{W-n^{-1/2}}^W (W-t) dt \right] + \sqrt{n} \|f'\|_\infty E \left[\int_{W-n^{-1/2}}^W \int_t^W |s| ds dt \right] \\
&\leq \sqrt{n} \|f\|_\infty \frac{1}{2n} + \sqrt{n} \|f'\|_\infty E \left[\max(n^{-1/2}, W) \int_{W-n^{-1/2}}^W (W-t) dt \right] \\
&= \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{2\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\|f'\|_\infty}{2\sqrt{n}} E \left[\max(n^{-1/2}, W) \right] \\
(24) \quad &\leq \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{2\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\|f'\|_\infty}{2n} + \frac{\|f'\|_\infty}{2\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequality $\max(x, y) \leq x + y$ valid for $x, y \geq 0$ and (16) for the last step.

Note that for Lipschitz h we have $|h(W) - h(t)| \leq \|h'\|_\infty |W - t|$ and hence

$$|E_4| \leq \sqrt{n} \|h'\|_\infty E \left[\int_{W-n^{-1/2}}^W (W-t) dt \right] = \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{2\sqrt{n}},$$

which together with (24) yields a worse bound than the one obtained in (21), if we plug in the bounds on f and f' from Lemma 2.2 (ii). This indicates the optimality of the bound (c) in Lemma 2.2 (ii). If h is not Lipschitz, then $|E_4|$ cannot be bounded

that easily. Having in mind the Kolmogorov distance, we restrict ourselves to the test functions $h_z = 1_{(-\infty, z]}$, $z > 0$. Note that for $h = h_z$ we can write

$$|E_4| = -E_4 = \sqrt{n}E \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\{W-n^{-1/2} \leq t \leq W\}} (h(t) - h(W)) dt \right]$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\{W-n^{-1/2} \leq t \leq W\}} (h(t) - h(W)) &= 1_{\{W-n^{-1/2} \leq t \leq W\}} 1_{\{W-n^{-1/2} \leq t \leq z < W\}} \\ &= 1_{\{W-n^{-1/2} \leq t \leq z\}} 1_{\{W-n^{-1/2} \leq z < W\}} \\ (25) \quad &\leq 1_{\{W-n^{-1/2} \leq t \leq W\}} 1_{\{W-n^{-1/2} \leq z < W\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (25) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |E_4| &= \sqrt{n}E \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\{W-n^{-1/2} \leq t \leq z\}} 1_{\{W-n^{-1/2} \leq z < W\}} dt \right] \\ &\leq \sqrt{n}E \left[\int_{W-n^{-1/2}}^W 1 dt 1_{\{W-n^{-1/2} \leq z < W\}} \right] \\ &= P(W - n^{-1/2} \leq z < W) = P(K_n - 1 \leq \sqrt{n}z < K_n) \\ (26) \quad &= P(K_n = 1 + \lfloor \sqrt{n}z \rfloor). \end{aligned}$$

Now note that by unimodality of binomial coefficients for each $r = 0, 1, \dots, m$ we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} P(K_n = r) &= \frac{1}{2^{2m-r}} \binom{2m-r}{m} \leq \frac{1}{2^{2m-r}} \begin{cases} \binom{\frac{2m-r}{2}}{\frac{2m-r}{2}} & , r \text{ even} \\ \binom{\frac{2m-r}{2}}{\frac{2m-r-1}{2}} & , r \text{ odd} \end{cases} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} (\pi(m-r/2))^{-1/2} & , r \text{ even} \\ (\pi(m-(r+1)/2))^{-1/2} & , r \text{ odd} \end{cases} \\ (27) \quad &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi m}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the next to last inequality comes from

$$2^{-2k-1} \binom{2k+1}{k} \leq 2^{-2k} \binom{2k}{k} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}}$$

by Stirling's formula.

Note that $P(K_n = r) = 0$ for $r > m = n/2$ and, hence, $P(K_n = 1 + \lfloor \sqrt{n}z \rfloor) = 0$ unless $z \leq n^{1/2}/2 - n^{-1/2}$. Thus, from (27) we have that for each $z > 0$

$$(28) \quad |E_4| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi m}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi n}}.$$

Collecting terms we see from (19), (18), (21) and Lemma 2.2 (ii) that for h Lipschitz on $[0, \sqrt{n}]$ we have

$$(29) \quad |E[h(W)] - E[h(Y)]| \leq \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} + \frac{2}{\pi} + 2 \right)$$

and letting $h = h_z$ for $z \geq 0$ we see from (19), (18), (22), (24), (28) and Lemma 2.3 that

$$(30) \quad |P(W \leq z) - P(Y \leq z)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{3}{4} \right) + \frac{3}{2n}.$$

Theorem 1.2 now follows from (29) and (30). □

4. THE MAXIMUM OF SIMPLE RANDOM WALK

Recall the definition of M_n from (1), where $n = 2m$ is an even positive integer. In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.1, which is a little bit more complicated than the one of Theorem 1.2 given in the last section. This is so because in this case the function ψ from (12) vanishes on the odd integers which is inconvenient for our method of proof. So we will introduce an auxiliary variable V and use the triangle inequality

$$(31) \quad d_{\mathcal{H}}(W, Y) \leq d_{\mathcal{H}}(W, V) + d_{\mathcal{H}}(V, Y)$$

to prove the theorem.

For $r = 0, 1, \dots, n = 2m$ let $p(r) := P(M_n = r)$. Then, it is known (see [Fel68], Theorem 1 in Section 8 of Chapter 3) that

$$(32) \quad p(r) = P(M_n = r) = p_{n,r} + p_{n,r+1},$$

where

$$p_{n,k} := P(S_n = k) = \binom{n}{\frac{n+k}{2}} 2^{-n}$$

is the probability that the random walk is in position k at time n . We use the convention that $\binom{y}{x} = 0$ unless x is a nonnegative integer. Hence, if $p_{n,k} \neq 0$, then n and k must have the same parity and since we always assume that n is even, we have $p_{n,k} = 0$ whenever k is odd. From (32) it easily follows that with ψ given by (12) for all $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(r) &:= \frac{p_{n,r+2} - p_{n,r}}{p_{n,r} + p_{n,r+1}} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{p_{n,r+2} - p_{n,r}}{p_{n,r}} & , r \text{ even} \\ 0 & , r \text{ odd} . \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This is why we introduce the auxiliary random variables

$$(34) \quad N_n := \left\lfloor \frac{M_n + 1}{2} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad V := V_n := \frac{2N_n}{\sqrt{n}} .$$

Then, N_n only takes the values $0, 1, \dots, m$ and for $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ we obtain from (32) that

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} q(s) &:= P(N_n = s) = P(M_n + 1 = 2s) + P(M_n + 1 = 2s + 1) \\ &= P(M_n = 2s - 1) + P(M_n = 2s) = 2P(M_n = 2s) = 2p(2s) \\ &= 2 \binom{2m}{m+s} 2^{-2m} . \end{aligned}$$

Denoting by ϱ the corresponding difference quotient of the probability mass function q we obtain for $s = 0, 1, \dots, m$ that

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho(s) &:= \frac{q(s+1) - q(s)}{q(s)} = \frac{2p(2s+2) - 2p(2s)}{2p(2s)} \\ &= \frac{p(2s+2) - p(2s)}{p(2s)} = \frac{\binom{2m}{m+s+1} - \binom{2m}{m+s}}{\binom{2m}{m+s}} \\ &= \frac{\binom{2m}{m+s} \left(\frac{m-s}{m+s+1} - 1 \right)}{\binom{2m}{m+s}} = \frac{m-s}{m+s+1} - \frac{m+s+1}{m+s+1} \\ &= -\frac{2s+1}{m+s+1} . \end{aligned}$$

So, we define the function $c : [-1, m] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $c(s) := m + s + 1$. Then, for $s = 0, 1, \dots, m$

$$\begin{aligned}
c(s)\varrho(s) &= -2s - 1 = -(2s + 1) \\
\Delta c(s - 1) &= c(s) - c(s - 1) = m + s + 1 - (m + s) = 1 \\
\gamma(s) &:= c(s)\varrho(s) + \Delta c(s - 1) = -2s - 1 + 1 = -2s.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, Proposition 2.5 implies the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. *A random variable X with values in $I := [0, m] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ has the probability mass function q , if and only if for all functions $g \in \mathcal{F}(q)$*

$$E[(m + X)\Delta g(X - 1) - 2Xg(X)] = 0.$$

Letting $g(k) := 1$ for $k \geq 0$ and $g(k) := 0$ for $k < 0$, we see from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
E[N_n] &= \frac{mP(N_n = 0)}{2} = \frac{2m2^{-2m}\binom{2m}{m}}{2} = m2^{-2m}\binom{2m}{m} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\frac{m}{\pi}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sqrt{n} \\
(37) \quad &\Rightarrow E[V] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}E[N_n] \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The next lemma gives bounds on the distance from V to W .

LEMMA 4.2. *For each $n = 2m$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
d_W(V, W) &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \\
d_K(V, W) &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{M_n - 1}{2} &= \frac{M_n + 1}{2} - 1 < N_n \leq \frac{M_n + 1}{2} \\
&\Rightarrow -1 < 2N_n - M_n \leq 1 \\
(38) \quad &\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} < V - W \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if h is Lipschitz on $[0, \sqrt{n}]$ with constant 1, then from (38) follows that

$$|E[h(V) - h(W)]| \leq \|h'\|_\infty E|V - W| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}},$$

which proves the first claim. As to the second claim, note that the Kolmogorov distance is scale-invariant which implies that $d_K(V, W) = d_K(2N_n, M_n)$ and that for $z \in [0, \infty)$

$$|P(2N_n \leq z) - P(M_n \leq z)| = |P(2N_n \leq \lfloor z \rfloor) - P(M_n \leq \lfloor z \rfloor)|.$$

Hence, we need only consider $z \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $z = 2k$ is even, then

$$\{2N_n \leq 2k\} = \{\lfloor \frac{M_n + 1}{2} \rfloor \leq k\} = \{M_n \leq 2k\}$$

and, thus,

$$(39) \quad P(2N_n \leq 2k) = P(M_n \leq 2k).$$

On the other hand, if $z = 2k + 1$, then

$$\{2N_n \leq 2k + 1\} = \{2N_n \leq 2k\} = \{M_n \leq 2k\} \subseteq \{M_n \leq 2k + 1\}$$

and

$$\{M_n \leq 2k + 1\} \setminus \{2N_n \leq 2k + 1\} = \{M_n = 2k + 1\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |P(2N_n \leq 2k + 1) - P(M_n \leq 2k + 1)| &= P(M_n = 2k + 1) = p_{n, 2k+2} \\ &= \binom{2m}{m+k+1} 2^{-2m} \leq \binom{2m}{m} 2^{-2m} \\ (40) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi m}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi n}}, \end{aligned}$$

by Stirling's formula. Thus, for every $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have the bound

$$|P(2N_n \leq z) - P(M_n \leq z)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}},$$

proving the second claim of the lemma. □

Having bounded the distance from V to W , we may now derive bounds on the distance from V to Y in a similar way as in Section 3.

LEMMA 4.3. *For the distance from V to Y we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_W(V, Y) &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(2 + \frac{4}{\pi} \right) + 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{n} \\ d_K(V, Y) &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(3\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{2}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let h be a Borel-measurable test function and consider the corresponding standard solution $f := f_h$ to Stein's equation (6) given by (8) and (9). We also let $f_h(x) := 0$ for each $x < 0$ and define $g(k) := f_h(2k/\sqrt{n})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Writing $\Delta_y f(x) := f(x+y) - f(x)$ we obtain from Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} E[Vf(V)] &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} E[N_n g(N_n)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} E[(m + N_n) \Delta g(N_n - 1)] \\ (41) \quad &= E \left[\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} + \frac{V}{2} \right) \Delta_{2n^{-1/2}} f(V - 2n^{-1/2}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since f solves Stein's equation (6) we obtain from (41)

$$\begin{aligned} |E[h(V)] - E[h(Y)]| &= |E[f'(V) - Vf(V)]| \\ &= \left| E \left[f'(V) - \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} + \frac{V}{2} \right) \Delta_{2n^{-1/2}} f(V - 2n^{-1/2}) \right] \right| \\ (42) \quad &= \left| E \left[f'(V) - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \Delta_{2n^{-1/2}} f(V - 2n^{-1/2}) \right] - E_1 \right| \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |E_1| &= \left| E \left[\frac{V}{2} \Delta_{2n^{-1/2}} f(V - 2n^{-1/2}) \right] \right| \\ (43) \quad &\leq \frac{2n^{-1/2}}{2} \|f'\|_\infty E[V] \leq \|f'\|_\infty \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \end{aligned}$$

by inequality (37). Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_2| &:= \left| E \left[f'(V) - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \Delta_{2n^{-1/2}} f(V - 2n^{-1/2}) \right] \right| \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \left| E \left[\int_{V - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}}^V (f'(V) - f'(t)) dt \right] \right| \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \left| E \left[\int_{V - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}}^V (Vf(V) - tf(t) + h(V) - h(t)) dt \right] \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \left| E \left[\int_{V - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}}^V (Vf(V) - tf(t)) dt \right] \right| + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \left| E \left[\int_{V - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}}^V (h(V) - h(t)) dt \right] \right| \\
(44) \quad &=: |E_3| + |E_4|.
\end{aligned}$$

As for (21), if h is Lipschitz than by bound (c) from Lemma 2.2 (ii) we easily get

$$(45) \quad |E_2| \leq \|f''\|_\infty \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \int_{V - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}}^V (V - t) dt = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \|f''\|_\infty \frac{2}{n} \leq \frac{2\|h'\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Similar computations as those in Section 3 yield

$$\begin{aligned}
(46) \quad |E_3| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} + E[V] \right) \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(47) \quad |E_4| \leq \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for Lipschitz continuous functions h . With a similar computation as the one leading to (26) one can show that for $h = h_z$, where $z \geq 0$,

$$(48) \quad |E_4| \leq P\left(N_n = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{n}z}{2} \rfloor + 1\right) \leq \max_{s=0, \dots, m} q(s).$$

But from (35) we see that

$$(49) \quad \max_{s=0, \dots, m} q(s) = q(0) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi m}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}},$$

again by Stirling's formula.

From (47) and (49) we get that for each $z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(50) \quad |E_4| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Collecting terms, we see from (42), (43), (45) and Lemma 2.2 (ii) that for h Lipschitz on $[0, \sqrt{n}]$ we have

$$(51) \quad \left| E[h(V)] - E[h(Y)] \right| \leq \|h'\|_\infty \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \right)$$

and from (42), (43), (44), (46), (50) and Lemma 2.3 we see that for each $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have the bound

$$(52) \quad \left| P(V \leq z) - P(Y \leq z) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(3\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{2}{n}.$$

The claims of the lemma now follow from (51) and (52), respectively. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The claims of Theorem 1.1 now follow easily from Lemmas 4.2, 4.3 and from the triangle inequality (31). $\square$

5. THE NUMBER OF SIGN CHANGES

Recall the definition of C_{2m+1} from (3). It is known (see Theorem 1 in Section 5 of Chapter 3 of [Fel68], for example) that for $s = 0, 1, \dots, m$

$$(53) \quad p(s) := P(C_{2m+1} = s) = 2p_{2m+1, 2s+1} = 2 \binom{2m+1}{m+s+1} 2^{-2m-1}.$$

Thus, p is very similar to the probability mass function q of N_n from Section 4 (see (35)). This allows for a proof of Theorem 1.3, which is completely analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.3. This is why we will omit some details of the proof. The next lemma easily follows from (53) and Proposition 2.5.

LEMMA 5.1. *A random variable X with values in $I := [0, m] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ has the probability mass function p , if and only if for all functions $g \in \mathcal{F}(p)$*

$$E[(m+1+X)\Delta g(X-1) - 2(X+1)g(X)] = 0.$$

As usual, the lemma implies a bound on the expected value of C_{2m+1} .

$$(54) \quad E[C_{2m+1}] \leq \frac{\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi m}}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Writing $n := 2m + 1$ we have $W = 2C_n/\sqrt{n}$. Let h be a Borel-measurable test function and consider the solution $f := f_h$ to Stein's equation (6) given by (8) and (9). Again, we let $f_h(x) := 0$ for each $x < 0$ and define $g(k) := f_h(2k/\sqrt{n})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Writing $\Delta_y f(x) := f(x+y) - f(x)$ we obtain from Lemma 5.1

$$(55) \quad \begin{aligned} E[Wf(W)] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} E[2C_n g(C_n)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} E\left[\left(\frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}W\right) \Delta_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}} f(W) - 2f(W)\right] \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} E\left[\Delta_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}} f(W)\right] + E_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_1 := \frac{1}{2} E\left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + W\right) \Delta_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}} f(W)\right] - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} E[f(W)].$$

Using (54) we obtain

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} |E_1| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|f'\|_\infty \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + E[W]\right) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} E[f(W)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \|f'\|_\infty + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|f'\|_\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \|f'\|_\infty \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since f is a solution to Stein's equation (6)

$$(57) \quad \begin{aligned} \left|E[h(W)] - E[h(Y)]\right| &= \left|E[f'(W) - Wf(W)]\right| \\ &= \left|E\left[f'(W) - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \Delta_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}} f(W)\right] - E_1\right| \\ &\leq |E_1| + |E_2|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(58) \quad E_2 := E\left[f'(W) - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\Delta_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}}f(W)\right] = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}E\left[\int_{W-\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}}^W (f'(W) - f'(t))dt\right].$$

If h is Lipschitz on $[0, \sqrt{n}]$, then by Lemma 2.2 (ii)

$$(59) \quad |E_2| \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\|f''\|_\infty E\left[\int_{W-\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}}^W (W-t)dt\right] = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\|f''\|_\infty \frac{2}{n} \leq \frac{2\|h'\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

If h is Borel-measurable with $E|h(Y)| < \infty$, then, as before,

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} E_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}E\left[\int_{W-\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}}^W (Wf(W) - tf(t))dt\right] + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}E\left[\int_{W-\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}}^W (h(W) - h(t))dt\right] \\ &=: E_3 + E_4 \end{aligned}$$

Completely analogously to (46) one can show that

$$(61) \quad \begin{aligned} |E_3| &\leq \frac{2\|f'\|_\infty}{n} + \frac{\|f'\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}}E[W] + \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}} \\ &\leq \frac{2\|f'\|_\infty}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\frac{\|f'\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\frac{\|f'\|_\infty}{n^{3/2}} + \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}} \end{aligned}$$

and as in (47)

$$(62) \quad |E_4| \leq \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for a Lipschitz function h . Now suppose that $h = h_z = 1_{(-\infty, z]}$ for some $z \geq 0$. Then, as in (48) and (49)

$$(63) \quad \begin{aligned} |E_4| &\leq P\left(C_n = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{n}z}{2} \rfloor + 1\right) \leq \max_{s=0, \dots, m} p(s) \\ &= p(0) = \binom{2m+1}{m+1} 2^{-2m} \leq 2 \binom{2m}{m} 2^{-2m} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi m}} \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, from (57), (56), (59) and Lemma 2.2 (ii) we see that for each function h , which is Lipschitz on $[0, \sqrt{n}]$

$$(64) \quad \left| E[h(W)] - E[h(Y)] \right| \leq \|h'\|_\infty \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(4 + \frac{2}{\pi} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \right),$$

whereas from (57), (56), (60), (61), (63) and Lemma 2.3 we have that for each $z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(65) \quad \left| P(W \leq z) - P(Y \leq z) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2} + 4}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{3}{2} \right) + \frac{3}{n} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}$$

The claim of Theorem 1.3 now follows from (64) and (65). $\square$

6. OPTIMALITY OF THE RATES

In this section we give an argument why the rate $n^{-1/2}$ in Theorems 1.1-1.3 is optimal for both, the Kolmogorov and the Wasserstein distance. Let us explain this by means of the example of the number of returns K_n . To see that the rate is optimal for the Kolmogorov distance, it suffices to take $z = 0$ and observe that

$$\left| P(K_n \leq 0) - P(Y \leq 0) \right| = P(K_n = 0) = 2^{-2m} \binom{2m}{m} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi m}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

by Stirling's formula. Hence, $n^{-1/2}$ is optimal and the best constant is no less than $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$. To show that the rate is also optimal with respect to the Wasserstein distance, we consider the function $h(x) := x$ which is 1-Lipschitz on $\mathbb{R}$. By (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[W] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} E[K_n] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} (2m+1) 2^{-2m} \binom{2m}{m} - 1 \\ &\sim \frac{\sqrt{2m}}{\sqrt{\pi m}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi m} \sqrt{n}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E[Y] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$ this shows that

$$\left| E[W] - E[Y] \right| \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}},$$

yielding the optimality of the rate $n^{-1/2}$. In a similar fashion one may prove that the convergence rates in Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are best possible.

7. PROOFS FROM SECTION 2

In this section we give proofs of some of the results from Section 2. Most of them are quite standard in Stein's method, but in many cases we obtain better constants than we would by quoting the general bounds from the literature, for example from [CS11]. Recall the density function p and the distribution function F of the half-normal distribution from (4) and (5), respectively. Also, in this section, we let

$$(66) \quad \psi(x) := \frac{d}{dx} \log p(x) = \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} = -x, x \geq 0$$

denote the logarithmic derivative of the density function p . Note that ψ is a decreasing function. This property will suffice to prove more explicit bounds on the solution to Stein's equation in the general density approach than those currently given in the literature (see [CGS11] or [CS11]). We will return to this issue later. We will several times make use of the following well-known *Mill's ratio inequality*, which is valid for $x > 0$:

$$(67) \quad \frac{x}{1+x^2} \varphi(x) \leq 1 - \Phi(x) \leq \frac{\varphi(x)}{x}$$

Also, for a Borel-measurable test function $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $E|h(Y)| < \infty$ we let

$$\tilde{h}(x) := h(x) - E[h(Y)].$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. From (8) and (9) one immediately gets

$$(68) \quad |f_h(x)| \leq \|\tilde{h}\|_\infty \min(M(x), N(x)),$$

where

$$(69) \quad M(x) := \frac{F(x)}{p(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad N(x) := \frac{1 - F(x)}{p(x)}.$$

We have

$$(70) \quad M'(x) = \frac{p(x)^2 - p'(x)F(x)}{p(x)^2} = \frac{1}{p(x)} (p(x) - \psi(x)F(x)) \geq 0$$

$$(71) \quad N'(x) = \frac{-1}{p(x)} (p(x) + \psi(x)(1 - F(x))) \leq 0,$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) - p(0) &= \int_0^x p'(t) dt = \int_0^x \psi(t) p(t) dt \\ &\geq \psi(x) \int_0^x p(t) dt = \psi(x) F(x) \\ &\Rightarrow p(x) \geq p(0) + \psi(x) F(x) \geq \psi(x) F(x). \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$-p(x) - \psi(x)(1 - F(x)) \leq -\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} p(y) \leq 0.$$

Note that we have used that ψ is decreasing but no special form of p . Thus M is increasing on $[0, \infty)$ and N is decreasing there. Since $N(0) > 0 = M(0)$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} M(x) > \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} N(x) = 0$ there is a unique point $x \geq 0$ such that $M(x) = N(x)$ and, clearly, in this point the function $\min(M, N)$ attains its maximum value. But

$$M(x) = N(x) \Leftrightarrow F(x) = 1 - F(x) \Leftrightarrow F(x) = \frac{1}{2}$$

and, thus, $x = m$ is the unique median of F . In our case we have $F(x) = 2\Phi(x) - 1$ and so

$$m = \Phi^{-1}(3/4) =: z_{0.75}.$$

Since $p(x) = 2\varphi(x)$ and $F(m) = 1/2$ we obtain from (68) that

$$(72) \quad \|f_h\|_\infty \leq \frac{\tilde{h}}{4\varphi(z_{0.75})},$$

proving the first claim of (i). For the second claim, note that since f_h is a solution to Stein's equation (6)

$$(73) \quad |f'_h(x)| = |\tilde{h}(x) - \psi(x)f_h(x)| \leq \|\tilde{h}\|_\infty + \|\psi f_h\|_\infty.$$

Using (68) and (67) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(x)f_h(x)| &\leq \|\tilde{h}\|_\infty |\psi(x)| \min(M(x), N(x)) \leq \|\tilde{h}\|_\infty x N(x) \\ (74) \quad &= \|\tilde{h}\|_\infty \frac{x(1 - \Phi(x))}{\varphi(x)} \leq \|\tilde{h}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\psi f_h\|_\infty \leq \|\tilde{h}\|_\infty$$

and (73) yields the second claim of (i).

Now, we turn to (ii) and Lipschitz functions h . We will need the following identities, which may be derived with the help of Fubini's theorem (see, for example [Döb12b] for proofs).

$$(75) \quad \tilde{h}(x) = \int_0^x F(s)h'(s)ds - \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))h'(s)ds$$

$$(76) \quad f_h(x) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \left(-(1 - F(x)) \int_0^x F(s)h'(s)ds - F(x) \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))h'(s)ds \right)$$

$$(77) \quad \int_0^x F(s)ds = xF(x) - \int_0^x sp(s)ds$$

$$(78) \quad \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))ds = \int_x^\infty sp(s)ds - x(1 - F(x))$$

To prove (a) note that by (76), (77) and (78) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_h(x)| &\leq \|h'\|_\infty \frac{(1 - F(x)) \int_0^x F(s)ds + F(x) \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))ds}{p(x)} \\ &= \|h'\|_\infty \frac{(1 - F(x)) \left(xF(x) - \int_0^x sp(s)ds \right) + F(x) \left(\int_x^\infty sp(s)ds - x(1 - F(x)) \right)}{p(x)} \\ (79) \quad &= \|h'\|_\infty \frac{F(x)E[Y] - \int_0^x sp(s)ds}{p(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

In our special case of the half-normal distribution we have $E[Y] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$ and $\int_0^x p(s)ds = 2\varphi(x) - 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$ and (79) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_h(x)| &\leq \|h'\|_\infty \frac{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\Phi(x) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} + 2\varphi(x) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}}{2\varphi(x)} \\
&= \|h'\|_\infty \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}(\Phi(x) - 1) + \varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)} \\
(80) \qquad &= \|h'\|_\infty \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1 - \Phi(x)}{\varphi(x)} \right) \leq \|h'\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - \Phi(x)}{\varphi(x)} = 0$ by (67) so that the bound (80) appears to be optimal. This proves (a). Next we prove (b). Since f_h is a solution to Stein's equation (6) we have, using (75) and (76)

$$\begin{aligned}
f'_h(x) &= \tilde{h}(x) - \psi(x)f_h(x) \\
&= \int_0^x F(s)h'(s)ds - \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))h'(s)ds \\
&\quad + \frac{\psi(x)}{p(x)} \left(-(1 - F(x)) \int_0^x F(s)h'(s)ds - F(x) \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))h'(s)ds \right) \\
&= \int_0^x F(s)h'(s)ds \left(\frac{p(x) + (1 - F(x))\psi(x)}{p(x)} \right) \\
(81) \qquad &- \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))h'(s)ds \left(\frac{p(x) - F(x)\psi(x)}{p(x)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (70) and (71) we obtain from (81) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|f'_h(x)| &\leq \|h'\|_\infty \left(\left| \frac{p(x) + (1 - F(x))\psi(x)}{p(x)} \right| \int_0^x F(s)ds \right) \\
&\quad + \|h'\|_\infty \left(\left| \frac{p(x) - F(x)\psi(x)}{p(x)} \right| \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))ds \right) \\
&= \|h'\|_\infty \left(\frac{p(x) + (1 - F(x))\psi(x)}{p(x)} \int_0^x F(s)ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{p(x) - F(x)\psi(x)}{p(x)} \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))ds \right) \\
(82) \quad &= \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{p(x)} \left(G(x) \int_0^x F(s)ds + H(x) \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))ds \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(83) \quad H(x) &:= p(x) - F(x)\psi(x) \quad \text{and} \\
G(x) &:= p(x) + (1 - F(x))\psi(x) = H(x) + \psi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that if ψ is absolutely continuous, then so are H and G and

$$(84) \quad H'(x) = p'(x) - p(x)\psi'(x) - \psi'(x)F(x) = -\psi'(x)F(x)$$

$$(85) \quad G'(x) = H'(x) + \psi'(x) = (1 - F(x))\psi'(x)$$

In the general case one might use the identities (77) and (78) to turn (82) into a more explicit expression. However, in our case we make the observation that $H'(x) = F(x)$ and $G'(x) = -(1 - F(x))$ yielding

$$(86) \quad H(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} + \int_0^x F(s)ds \quad \text{and} \quad G(x) = \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))ds,$$

since $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} G(y) = 0$ by (67). Thus, (82) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
|f'_h(x)| &\leq \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{p(x)} \left(G(x) \left(H(x) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \right) + H(x)G(x) \right) \\
&= \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{p(x)} G(x) \left(2H(x) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \right) \\
&= \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{p(x)} G(x) \left(2G(x) + 2x - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \right) \\
&= \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{2\varphi(x)} \left(2\varphi(x) - 2x(1 - \Phi(x)) \right) \left(4\varphi(x) - 4x(1 - \Phi(x)) + 2x - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \right) \\
(87) \quad &= \|h'\|_\infty S(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where, for $x \geq 0$,

$$S(x) := 4 \frac{\left(\varphi(x) - x(1 - \Phi(x)) \right) \left(\varphi(x) + x(\Phi(x) - 1/2) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)}{\varphi(x)}.$$

One may now check that the function S attains its maximum value of $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$ at $x = 0$. This completes the proof of (b). Finally, we turn to the proof of (c). Differentiating Stein's equation (6) and using (76) as well as (81) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f''_h(x) &= h'(x) - \psi'(x)f_h(x) - \psi(x)f'_h(x) \\
&= h'(x) - \frac{\psi'(x)}{p(x)} \left(-(1 - F(x)) \int_0^x F(s)h'(s)ds - F(x) \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))h'(s)ds \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\psi(x)}{p(x)} \left(\int_0^x F(s)h'(s)ds \left(p(x) + (1 - F(x))\psi(x) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))h'(s)ds \left(p(x) - F(x)\psi(x) \right) \right) \\
(88) \quad &= h'(x) + \frac{U(x)}{p(x)} \int_0^x F(s)h'(s)ds + \frac{V(x)}{p(x)} \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s))h'(s)ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (89) \quad U(x) &= (1 - F(x))(\psi'(x) - \psi(x)^2) - \psi(x)p(x) \quad \text{and} \\
 V(x) &= F(x)(\psi'(x) - \psi(x)^2) + \psi(x)p(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

In our special case we have

$$(90) \quad U(x) = 2x\varphi(x) - 2(1 - \Phi(x))(1 + x^2) \leq 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(91) \quad V(x) = -(2\Phi(x) - 1)(1 + x^2) - 2x\varphi(x) \leq 0$$

for each $x \geq 0$. The first inequality follows from (67) and the second one is obvious. Thus, from (88) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_h''(x)| &\leq \|h'\|_\infty + \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{\varphi(x)} \left(\int_0^x F(s) ds \left((1 - \Phi(x))(1 + x^2) - x\varphi(x) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_x^\infty (1 - F(s)) ds \left(\left(\Phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right) (1 + x^2) + x\varphi(x) \right) \right) \\
&= \|h'\|_\infty + \frac{2\|h'\|_\infty}{\varphi(x)} \left(\left(x \left(\Phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \varphi(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) \left((1 - \Phi(x))(1 + x^2) - x\varphi(x) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\varphi(x) - x(1 - \Phi(x)) \right) \left(\left(\Phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right) (1 + x^2) + x\varphi(x) \right) \right) \\
&= \|h'\|_\infty + \frac{2\|h'\|_\infty}{\varphi(x)} \left(-x^2\varphi(x) \left(\Phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right) + (1 + x^2)\varphi(x)(1 - \Phi(x)) - x\varphi(x)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(x\varphi(x) - (1 + x^2)(1 - \Phi(x)) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1 + x^2)\varphi(x) \left(\Phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right) - x^2\varphi(x)(1 - \Phi(x)) + x\varphi(x)^2 \right) \\
&= \|h'\|_\infty + \frac{2\|h'\|_\infty}{\varphi(x)} \left(\varphi(x)(1 - \Phi(x)) + \varphi(x) \left(\Phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(x\varphi(x) - (1 + x^2)(1 - \Phi(x)) \right) \right) \\
&= \|h'\|_\infty + \|h'\|_\infty + \frac{2\|h'\|_\infty}{\varphi(x)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(x\varphi(x) - (1 + x^2)(1 - \Phi(x)) \right) \\
(92) \quad &\leq 2\|h'\|_\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

once again by (67). This completes the proof of (c). □

Proof of Lemma 2.3. For $z, x \geq 0$ we have the representations

$$\begin{aligned}
f_z(x) &= \frac{F(x \wedge z) - F(x)F(z)}{p(x)} \\
(93) \quad &= \begin{cases} \frac{(1-F(z))F(x)}{p(x)} & , x \leq z \\ \frac{(1-F(x))F(z)}{p(x)} & , x > z \end{cases} = \begin{cases} (1-F(z))M(x) & , x \leq z \\ F(z)N(x) & , x > z \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f'_z(x) &= \begin{cases} (1-F(z))M'(x) & , x \leq z \\ F(z)N'(x) & , x > z \end{cases} \\
(94) \quad &= \begin{cases} \frac{(1-F(z))}{p(x)} (p(x) - \psi(x)F(x)) & , x \leq z \\ \frac{-F(z)}{p(x)} (p(x) + \psi(x)(1-F(x))) & , x > z \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-F(z))H(x)}{p(x)} & , x \leq z \\ \frac{-F(z)G(x)}{p(x)} & , x > z \end{cases},
\end{aligned}$$

with the functions M, N from (69) and H, G from (83). Since M is increasing and N is decreasing, we conclude that for each $z \geq 0$

$$(95) \quad \sup_{x \geq 0} |f_z(x)| = f_z(z) = \frac{(1-F(z))F(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{(1-\Phi(z))(2\Phi(z)-1)}{\varphi(z)} =: g(z).$$

To show that $g(z) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for each $z \geq 0$, we define

$$D_1(x) := \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x) - (1-\Phi(x))(2\Phi(x)-1) \quad , x \geq 0$$

and need to show that $D_1 \geq 0$. We have $D_1(0) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} > 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} D_1(x) = 0$. Thus, it suffices to show that D_1 is a decreasing function on $[0, \infty)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
D'_1(x) &= -\frac{1}{2}x\varphi(x) + \varphi(x)(2\Phi(x)-1) - 2\varphi(x)(1-\Phi(x)) \\
(96) \quad &= \varphi(x)\left(-\frac{x}{2} + 4\Phi(x) - 3\right) =: \varphi(x)D_2(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we want to show that $D_2(x) \leq 0$ for $x \geq 0$. We have $D_2(0) = -1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} D_2(x) = -\infty$. Furthermore,

$$(97) \quad D'_2(x) = -\frac{1}{2} + 4\varphi(x)$$

$$(98) \quad D''_2(x) = 4x\varphi(x) > 0 \quad , x > 0.$$

From (98) we conclude that D_2 is strictly concave. Hence, there is a unique $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $D_2(x_0) = \sup_{x \geq 0} D_2(x)$ and $D'_2(x_0) = 0$. By (97) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D'_2(x_0) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \varphi(x_0) = \frac{1}{8} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2}(\log 2 + \log \pi) - \frac{x_0^2}{2} = -3 \log 2 \\
 &\Leftrightarrow x_0^2 = 5 \log 2 - \log \pi = \log\left(\frac{32}{\pi}\right) \\
 (99) \quad &\Leftrightarrow x_0 = \sqrt{\log\left(\frac{32}{\pi}\right)} = 1.52348\dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $D_2(x_0) = -0.01701\dots < 0$, the claim of (a) follows. Next, we prove part (c). Note that

$$(100) \quad x f_z(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - F(z))xM(x) & , x \leq z \\ F(z)xN(x) & , x > z. \end{cases}$$

Now, using (71) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dx}xN(x) &= N(x) + xN'(x) = \frac{(1 - x^2)(1 - \Phi(x)) - x\varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)} \\
 &\geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

by (67). Thus, from (100) we see that $x f_z(x)$ is increasing on $[z, \infty)$. Similarly, using (70) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dx}xM(x) &= M(x) + xM'(x) = \frac{(1 + x^2)(\Phi(x) - \frac{1}{2}) + x\varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)} \\
 &\geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

and $x f_z(x)$ is also increasing on $[0, z]$. This proves (c). To prove (b) note that since f_z solves Stein's equation (6) for $h = h_z$

$$(101) \quad f'_z(x) = x f_z(x) + 1_{(-\infty, z]}(x) - F(z).$$

Hence, for $x \leq z$ by (70), (101), (67) and (c) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 < f'_z(x) &= 2(1 - \Phi(z)) + xf_z(x) \leq 2(1 - \Phi(z)) + zf_z(z) \\
&= 2(1 - \Phi(z)) + (2\Phi(z) - 1) \frac{z(1 - \Phi(z))}{\varphi(z)} \\
&\leq 2(1 - \Phi(z)) + (2\Phi(z) - 1) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $x > z$ we have by (71), (101), (67) and (c) that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 > f'_z(x) &= xf_z(x) - 2\Phi(z) + 1 \geq zf_z(z) - 2\Phi(z) + 1 \\
&= 1 - 2\Phi(z) + (2\Phi(z) - 1) \frac{z(1 - \Phi(z))}{\varphi(z)} \\
&\geq 1 - 2\Phi(z) + (2\Phi(z) - 1) \frac{z^2}{1 + z^2} = -(2\Phi(z) - 1) \frac{1}{1 + z^2} \\
&\geq 1 - 2\Phi(z) > -1,
\end{aligned}$$

proving (b). Furthermore, taking $x = z$ and letting $z \rightarrow \infty$, the proof shows that the bound in (b) is optimal. □

REFERENCES

- [BHJ92] A. D. Barbour, Lars Holst, and Svante Janson. *Poisson approximation*, volume 2 of *Oxford Studies in Probability*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1992. Oxford Science Publications.
- [CFR11] Sourav Chatterjee, Jason Fulman, and Adrian Röllin. Exponential approximation by Stein's method and spectral graph theory. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 8:197–223, 2011.
- [CGS11] Louis H. Y. Chen, Larry Goldstein, and Qi-Man Shao. *Normal approximation by Stein's method*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [Che75] Louis H. Y. Chen. Poisson approximation for dependent trials. *Ann. Probability*, 3(3):534–545, 1975.
- [CS11] Sourav Chatterjee and Qi-Man Shao. Nonnormal approximation by Stein's method of exchangeable pairs with application to the Curie-Weiss model. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 21(2):464–483, 2011.
- [Döb12a] C. Döbler. A rate of convergence for the arcsine law by Stein's method. [arXiv:1207.0533](#), 2012.
- [Döb12b] C. Döbler. Stein's method of exchangeable pairs for absolutely continuous, univariate distributions with applications to the Polya urn model. [arXiv:1207.0533](#), 2012.
- [EL10] Peter Eichelsbacher and Matthias Löwe. Stein's method for dependent random variables occurring in statistical mechanics. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 15:no. 30, 962–988, 2010.
- [Fel68] William Feller. *An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. I*. Third edition. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1968.

- [FR12] Jason Fulman and Nathan Ross. Exponential approximation and Stein’s method of exchangeable pairs. [arXiv:1207.5073v1](#), 2012.
- [GR12] L. Goldstein and G. Reinert. Stein’s method for the Beta distribution and the Pólya-Eggenberger Urn. [arXiv:1207.1460v2](#), 2012.
- [Luk94] Ho Ming Luk. *Stein’s method for the Gamma distribution and related statistical applications*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1994. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Southern California.
- [PR11] Erol A. Peköz and Adrian Röllin. New rates for exponential approximation and the theorems of Rényi and Yaglom. *Ann. Probab.*, 39(2):587–608, 2011.
- [SDHR04] Charles Stein, Persi Diaconis, Susan Holmes, and Gesine Reinert. Use of exchangeable pairs in the analysis of simulations. In *Stein’s method: expository lectures and applications*, volume 46 of *IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser.*, pages 1–26. Inst. Math. Statist., Beachwood, OH, 2004.
- [Ste72] Charles Stein. A bound for the error in the normal approximation to the distribution of a sum of dependent random variables. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability (Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1970/1971), Vol. II: Probability theory*, pages 583–602, Berkeley, Calif., 1972. Univ. California Press.